The City of La Vista Planning Commission held a regular meeting on Thursday, July 15, 2010, in the Harold “Andy” Anderson Council Chamber at La Vista City Hall, 8116 Park View Boulevard. Chairman Krzywicki called the meeting to order at 7 p.m. with the following members present: Circo, Kramolisch, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan, Andsager, Alexander and Miller. Members absent were: Nielson. Also in attendance were Christopher Solberg, City Planner and John Kottmann, Assistant Public Works Director/City Engineer.

Legal notice of the public meeting and hearing were posted, distributed and published according to Nebraska law. Notice was simultaneously given to all members of the Planning Commission. All proceedings shown were taken while the convened meeting was open to the attendance of the public.

1. Call to Order
   a. The meeting was called to order by Chairman Krzywicki at 7:02 p.m. Copies of the agenda and staff report were made available to the public. It was noted Commissioner Miller, alternate, would be participating in the vote.

2. Approval of Meeting Minutes – June 17, 2010

3. Old Business
   a. Public Hearing for an amendment to Section 150 of the La Vista Municipal Code and adoption of the 2006 I-Codes.
      i. Staff Report: Solberg stated that a public hearing was scheduled to consider the adoption of the 2006 I-Codes, which consist of the International Building Code, International Residential Code, International Plumbing Code, International Mechanical Code, International Fuel Gas Code, International Energy Conservation Code and the 2008 National Electric Code. Staff recommended approval of the proposed adoption of the 2006 I-Codes with amendments recommended by the Chief Building Official as they were consistent with codes of the surrounding jurisdictions.

No one came forward.
iii. **Public Hearing Closed**: Miller moved, seconded by Kramolisch to close the public hearing. **Ayes**: Circo, Kramolisch, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan, Andsager, Alexander and Miller. **Nays**: None. **Abstain**: None. **Absent**: Nielson. **Motion Carried**. (9-0)

iv. **Recommendation**: Malquist moved, seconded by Circo to approve the proposed adoption of the 2006 I-Codes and 2008 National Electric Code with the amendments as concurred to withstand. **Ayes**: Circo, Kramolisch, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan, Andsager, Alexander and Miller. **Nays**: None. **Abstain**: None. **Absent**: Nielson. **Motion Carried**. (9-0)

4. **New Business**

A. **Public Hearing for an amendment to the City of La Vista Zoning Ordinance, Section 2.02 – Signs.**

i. **Staff Report**: Solberg stated that a public hearing was scheduled to consider the amendments to the Zoning Regulations. Staff proposed to remove two confusing sections of the sign definitions. The sections conflicted with regulations later in the Ordinance and were deemed unnecessary. The amendment also included the addition of a definition of “Identification Sign”. The Ordinance has regulations for “Identification Signs”; however there was not a definition within Section 2.02. Staff recommended approval of the amendments to Section 2.02 of the Zoning Regulations regarding sign definitions.

ii. **Public Hearing Opened**: Malmquist moved, seconded by Kramolisch to open the public hearing. **Ayes**: Circo, Kramolisch, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan, Andsager, Alexander and Miller. **Nays**: None. **Abstain**: None. **Absent**: Nielson. **Motion Carried**. (9-0)

   No one came forward.

iii. **Public Hearing Closed**: Circo moved, seconded by Malmquist to close the public hearing. **Ayes**: Circo, Kramolisch, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan, Andsager, Alexander and Miller. **Nays**: None. **Abstain**: None. **Absent**: Nielson. **Motion Carried**. (9-0)

iv. **Recommendation**: Gahan moved, seconded by Alexander to approve the proposed amendments to Section 2.02 of the Zoning Regulations regarding sign definitions. **Ayes**: Circo, Kramolisch, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan, Andsager, Alexander and Miller. **Nays**: None. **Abstain**: None. **Absent**: Nielson. **Motion Carried**. (9-0)

B. **Public Hearing for an amendment to the Portal Ridge PUD.**

i. **Staff Report**: Solberg stated the developer was requesting an amendment to the Planned Unit Development to allow for a twenty-three foot (23’) street side yard setback on certain vacant lots where twenty-five feet (25’) was required. Previous amendments approved the PUD twenty-five feet (25’) front and rear setbacks, seven feet (7’) side yard and waived the lot width and lot area requirements. Reductions were approved in exchange for significant increases
in greenspace. The amendment to approve the reduced side yard setback to five feet (5’). Staff recommended disapproval of the amendment to the final PUD plan to allow for twenty-three foot (23’) street side yard setbacks.


No one came forward.


iv. Recommendation: Malmquist moved, seconded by Andsager to deny the amendment to the final PUD plan to allow for twenty-three foot (23’) street side yard setbacks. Ayes: Circo, Kramolisch, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan, Andsager, Alexander and Miller. Nays: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Nielson. Motion Carried. (9-0)

The item will go to Council on August 3, 2010.

5. Comments from the Floor

None.

6. Comments from the Planning Commission

Discussion regarding of PUD requirements.

7. Adjournment


Reviewed by Planning Commission:
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