The City of La Vista Planning Commission held a regular meeting on Thursday, February 16, 2012, in the Harold “Andy” Anderson Council Chamber at La Vista City Hall, 8116 Park View Boulevard. Chairman Krzywicki called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. with the following members present: Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan, Andsager, Nielsen, Hewitt, Circo and. Members absent were: Alexander and Miller. Also in attendance were Christopher Solberg, City Planner and John Kottmann, Assistant Public Works Director/City Engineer.

Legal notice of the public meeting and hearing were posted, distributed and published according to Nebraska law. Notice was simultaneously given to all members of the Planning Commission. All proceedings shown were taken while the convened meeting was open to the attendance of the public.

1. Call to Order

   a. The meeting was called to order by Chairman Krzywicki at 7:00 p.m. Copies of the agenda and staff reports were made available to the public.


3. Old Business

   None.

4. New Business

   A. Public Hearing for the review of the One & Six Year Road Plan.

      i. Staff Report: Goldman stated that the Public Works staff is proposing the 2013-2018 Road Plan and reported on the previous year and the summary of the six year plan indicating the locations of proposed road improvement projects.

None came forward.

iii. **Public Hearing Closed:** Malmquist moved, seconded by Nielsen to close the public hearing. **Ayes:** Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager. **Nays:** None. **Abstain:** None. **Absent:** Alexander and Miller. **Motion Carried.** (7-0)

iv. **Recommendation:** Hewitt moved, seconded by Malmquist to of the One & Six Year Road Plan. **Ayes:** Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager. **Nays:** None. **Abstain:** None. **Absent:** Alexander and Miller. **Motion Carried.** (7-0)

B. Public Hearing regarding amendments to Sections 5.17 – Gateway Corridor Overlay District of the City of La Vista Zoning Ordinance.

i. **Staff Report:** Solberg stated that the City of La Vista’s Zoning Ordinance, Section 5.17, Gateway Corridor Overlay District references specific areas for the application of the Overlay District. The amendment recommends the removal of these references and refers to the zoning map for the geographically depicted area, consistent with all other zoning districts. Other verbiage throughout the ordinance was also updated for clarification and to reflect proper practices. Staff recommended approval of Amendments to Sections 5.17 of the Zoning Ordinance, Gateway Corridor Overlay District.

ii. **Public Hearing Opened:** Malmquist moved, seconded by Gahan to open the public hearing. **Ayes:** Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager. **Nays:** None. **Abstain:** None. **Absent:** Alexander and Miller. **Motion Carried.** (7-0)

None came forward.

iii. **Public Hearing Closed:** Hewitt moved, seconded by Gahan to close the public hearing. **Ayes:** Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager. **Nays:** None. **Abstain:** None. **Absent:** Alexander and Miller. **Motion Carried.** (7-0)

iv. **Recommendation:** Malmquist moved, seconded by Gahan to recommend approval of the amendments to sections 5.17 Gateway Corridor Overlay as presented. **Ayes:** Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager. **Nays:** None. **Abstain:** None. **Absent:** Alexander and Miller. **Motion Carried.** (7-0)
C. Public Hearing regarding amendments to the City of La Vista Extraterritorial Jurisdiction Map.

i. **Staff Report:** Solberg stated through the annual strategic planning process, the Mayor and City Council identified the need to review the current extent of the City’s Extraterritorial Jurisdiction. Through the staff’s annual review of the Comprehensive Plan, it was identified that expansion of the current ETJ was warranted to allow the City to effectively control development along the 144th Street corridor. Solberg stated the current ETJ boundary line follows the centerline of 144th Street from Harrison to Giles Road. Staff recommended approval of the expansion of the City of La Vista’s Extraterritorial Jurisdiction to include areas on the west side of 144th Street.

ii. **Public Hearing Opened:** Malmquist moved, seconded by Circo to open the public hearing. **Ayes:** Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager. **Nays:** None. **Abstain:** None. **Absent:** Alexander and Miller. **Motion Carried.** (7-0)

None came forward.

iii. **Public Hearing Closed:** Malmquist moved, seconded by Nielsen to close the public hearing. **Ayes:** Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager. **Nays:** None. **Abstain:** None. **Absent:** Alexander and Miller. **Motion Carried.** (7-0)

Commissioner Hewitt questioned if the expansion would require the City to take on more fire or police responsibilities.

Solberg stated not at this time.

iv. **Recommendation:** Malmquist moved, seconded by Gahan to recommend approval that the expansion of the ETJ be adopted as presented. **Ayes:** Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager. **Nays:** None. **Abstain:** None. **Absent:** Alexander and Miller. **Motion Carried.** (7-0)

D. Public Hearing regarding amendments to the City of La Vista Future Land Use Map.

i. **Staff Report:** Solberg stated after the expansion of the City’s Extraterritorial Jurisdiction the Future Land Use Map was required to be amended to include those areas incorporated into the ETJ. Staff has reviewed the current Future Land Use Map of Sarpy County in conjunction with this activity and concurred with Sarpy County’s land use designations and developed amendments to the City’s Future Land Use Map to reflect the land uses. Staff recommended the adoption of the amendment to the City of La Vista Future Land Use Map.
ii. **Public Hearing Opened:** *Malmquist* moved, seconded by *Nielsen* to open the public hearing. *Ayes:* *Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager.* *Nays:* None. *Abstain:* None. *Absent:* Alexander and Miller. *Motion Carried.* (7-0)

None came forward.

iii. **Public Hearing Closed:** *Hewitt* moved, seconded by *Circo* to close the public hearing. *Ayes:* *Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager.* *Nays:* None. *Abstain:* None. *Absent:* Alexander and Miller. *Motion Carried.* (7-0)

iv. **Recommendation:** *Malmquist* moved, seconded by *Gahan* to recommend approval that the amendment to the Future Land Use Map be adopted as presented. *Ayes:* *Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager.* *Nays:* None. *Abstain:* None. *Absent:* Alexander and Miller. *Motion Carried.* (7-0)

E. **Public Hearing regarding amendments to the City of La Vista Zoning Map.**

i. **Staff Report:** Solberg stated after the expansion of the City’s Extraterritorial Jurisdiction and the amendment to the Future Land Use Map the official Zoning Map was required to be amended to include those areas incorporated into the ETJ. Staff has reviewed the current Zoning Map of Sarpy County in conjunction with this activity and concurred with Sarpy County’s zoning designations and developed amendments to the City’s Zoning Map to reflect the zoning uses. Staff recommended the adoption of the amendment to the City of La Vista Zoning Map.

ii. **Public Hearing Opened:** *Malmquist* moved, seconded by *Circo* to open the public hearing. *Ayes:* *Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager.* *Nays:* None. *Abstain:* None. *Absent:* Alexander and Miller. *Motion Carried.* (7-0)

None came forward.

iii. **Public Hearing Closed:** *Circo* moved, seconded *Nielsen* by to close the public hearing. *Ayes:* *Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager.* *Nays:* None. *Abstain:* None. *Absent:* Alexander and Miller. *Motion Carried.* (7-0)

iv. **Recommendation:** *Malmquist* moved, seconded by *Hewitt* to recommend approval that the amendment to the Zoning Map be adopted as presented. *Ayes:* *Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager.* *Nays:* None. *Abstain:* None. *Absent:* Alexander and Miller. *Motion Carried.* (7-0)
F. Public Hearing for an amendment to the City of La Vista Comprehensive Plan – Chapter 9 Annexation Plan.

i. **Staff Report:** Solberg stated as part of the annual strategic planning process the Mayor and City Council identified the need to annually review the City’s Annexation Plan. It is also an Action Strategy of the City of La Vista Comprehensive Plan to conduct an annual review of the Comprehensive Plan which included the Annexation Plan as Chapter 9. Through staff’s annual review of the Comprehensive Plan, it was identified that modifications to the Annexation Map were warranted.

Modifications to the proposed Annexation Map include:

- Removal of SIDs 82 (Crossroads Industrial Park) and 239 (Giles Corner) as well as the Performance Auto area as they have been annexed.
- Moving of SID 195 (Mayfair) from 5-10 year consideration to 1-5 year.
- Designation of areas along 66th Street for consideration in the 1-5 year timeline.

Staff recommended the amendment of the City of La Vista Comprehensive Plan – Chapter 9: Annexation Plan.

ii. **Public Hearing Opened:** Malmquist moved, seconded by Nielsen to open the public hearing. **Ayes:** Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager. **Nays:** None. **Abstain:** None. **Absent:** Alexander and Miller. **Motion Carried.** (7-0)

None came forward.

iii. **Public Hearing Closed:** Circo moved, seconded Malmquist by to close the public hearing. **Ayes:** Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager. **Nays:** None. **Abstain:** None. **Absent:** Alexander and Miller. **Motion Carried.** (7-0)

iv. **Recommendation:** Hewitt moved, seconded Malmquist by to recommend approval that the amendment to the Comprehensive Plan – Chapter 9: Annexation Plan be adopted as presented. **Ayes:** Nielsen, Circo, Hewitt, Krzywicki, Malmquist, Gahan & Andsager. **Nays:** None. **Abstain:** None. **Absent:** Alexander and Miller. **Motion Carried.** (7-0)

5. **Comments from the Floor**

None.
6. Comments from the Planning Commission.

7. Adjournment
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