



CITY OF LA VISTA
8116 PARK VIEW BOULEVARD
LA VISTA, NE 68128
P: (402) 331-4343

PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES
MAY 16, 2019 7:00 P.M.

The City of La Vista Planning Commission held a meeting on Thursday, May 16, 2019 in the Harold “Andy” Anderson Council Chamber at La Vista City Hall, 8116 Park View Boulevard. Chairman Tom Miller called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. with the following members present: Mike Krzywicki, Gayle Malmquist, Kevin Wetuski, Kathleen Alexander, John Gahan Tom Miller, and Harold Sargus. Members absent were: Jason Dale and Mike Circo. Also in attendance were Chris Solberg, Senior Planner; Meghan Engberg, Permit Technician; Ann Birch, Community Development Director; Pat Dowse, City Engineer; and John Kottmann, City Engineer.

Legal notice of the public meeting and hearing were posted, distributed and published according to Nebraska law. Notice was simultaneously given to all members of the Planning Commission. All proceedings shown were taken while the convened meeting was open to the attendance of the public.

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Miller at 7:00 p.m. Copies of the agenda and staff reports were made available to the public.

2. Approval of Meeting Minutes – April 18, 2019

Malmquist moved, seconded by Krzywicki, to approve the April 18th minutes. **Ayes: Krzywicki, Alexander, Gahan, Wetuski, and Malmquist. Nays: None. Abstain: Sargus. Absent: Dale and Circo. Motion Carried, (6-0-1)**

3. Old Business

None.

4. New Business

A. PCWP Interlocal Agreement – City of La Vista

- i. **Staff Report – John Kottmann:** Kottmann stated that he was going to give a little bit of a synopsis everything. He mentioned that Papillion Creek Watershed Partnership is a group of local agencies that was formed to jointly comply with the Stormwater District charge permit requirements which can be kind of cumbersome. The first interlocal agreement was done in 2001. Since 2004, they have renewed it every 5 years and the current agreement expires on July 1st, so the partnership is trying to get this renewed by July 1st if possible. He then said that he was going to highlight some things as opposed to going through the many pages.

He mentioned that the stormwater fee is a large part of the partnership plan. The stormwater management fee is applied to single family homes, apartment sites and new commercial industrial development. That stormwater fee is intended to fund about 1/3 of the stormwater management facilities, basically the dam sites that are undertaken by the Papio NRD. The other 2/3 is taken care of by the Papio NRD bonding authority. Kottmann then brought up a couple of things in the current agreement that are being renewed. He said that the planning horizon for the financial review of this update was extended from 2040 to 2050 in terms of looking at projected cost and revenues. He said that it has been discussed that the 1/3 is not covering what it needs to and that will continue to be looked at. He also said that the membership fee for each member is also being revised. The fees have not been changed since 2005 and La Vista's fee will be going from \$5,000 to \$10,750. He then brought up that in about 2 years they anticipate there being an amendment to this interlocal agreement in regards to changing the creek setback requirements and the stormwater fees.

Sargus asked for a reminder on where the other 2/3 of the funding comes from.

Kottmann said it comes from the NRD bonding authority.

Krzywicki asked if these are regulated by the state, federal, or both.

Kottmann said it's federal regulations, NP discharge permit and the Nebraska NDQ is the responsible agency for enforcing the regulations.

Krzywicki asked if there is any regulation within cities that have retention ponds to test whether there are certain bad things in them.

Kottmann said that we don't as of yet and that we have not gone out to do any chemical testing and there are no regulations requiring that as of yet.

B. Planning Commission Start Time

Solberg stated that as was discussed at the last planning commission meeting, city council has made a decision to move their start time back to p.m. starting in June. He said that this is temporary trial period of this to see how it works out. Solberg said that if they do decide to change it, it is recommended that they do it as a trial and then reassess after a certain period of time. He then opened it for discussion.

Miller said that he had no issues with 6 p.m. on a trial basis.

Malmquist agreed.

Sargus said that the way he was reading it, the city tells them when the meetings start.

Malmquist said that she believed that they were just being asked, for consistency sake, if they would like to change the meeting time as well.

Krzywicki believed that it was being done so the city staff didn't have to stay as late in the evening.

Solberg said that that was part of it, but there was also the concept of getting the commissioners home earlier as well. He said that part of city council's decision was based on not delaying developers from being able to get out of town either. Solberg reminded them that just because city council is doing it, doesn't mean that they have to.

Sargus said that he empathizes and understands everything that was said, but that we also need to take into consideration the public as well. He then suggested a 6:30 start time.

Solberg brought up the discussion at last week's meeting and how Circo had expressed some concern about moving the meeting time to 6 p.m., but 6:30 was never brought up, so it was unknown if that would be a concern. He was also unsure about Dale, who was not at the last meeting either.

Malmquist moved, seconded by Sargus to change the meeting time from 7 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. for a trial period from June to December. Planning Commission will vote in December on what they will do after that. **Ayes: Krzywicki, Alexander, Gahan, Wetuski, Sargus, and Malmquist. Nays: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Dale and Circo. Motion Carried, (7-0)**

5. Comments from the Floor:

No members of the public were present.

6. Comments from the Planning Commission:

None.

7. Comments from Staff:

None.

8. Adjournment

Miller adjourned the meeting at 7:13 p.m.

Reviewed by Planning Commission:

Planning Commission Secretary

Planning Commission Chair

Date