



**CITY OF LA VISTA**  
**8116 PARK VIEW BOULEVARD**  
**LA VISTA, NE 68128**  
**P: (402) 331-4343**

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**OCTOBER 15, 2020 6:30 P.M.**

The City of La Vista Planning Commission held a meeting on Thursday, October 15, 2020 in the Harold "Andy" Anderson Council Chamber at La Vista City Hall, 8116 Park View Boulevard. Chairman Kevin Wetuski called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. with the following members present: Kevin Wetuski, Mike Krzywicki, Gayle Malmquist, Kathleen Alexander, Patrick Coghlan, John Gahan, Mike Circo, and Josh Frey. Members absent were: Jason Dale and Harold Sargus. Also, in attendance were Bruce Fountain, Community Development Director; Jeff Calentine, Deputy Director of Public Works; Meghan Engberg, Permit Technician; and Pat Dowse, City Engineer.

Legal notice of the public meeting and hearing were posted, distributed and published according to Nebraska law. Notice was simultaneously given to all members of the Planning Commission. All proceedings shown were taken while the convened meeting was open to the attendance of the public.

**1. Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Wetuski 6:30 p.m. Copies of the agenda and staff reports were made available to the public.

**2. Approval of Meeting Minutes – October 1, 2020**

*Malmquist* moved, seconded by *Krzywicki*, to approve the October 1st minutes. **Ayes: Krzywicki, Gahan, Wetuski, Alexander, Coghlan, Frey, and Malmquist. Nays: None. Abstain: Circo. Absent: Dale and Sargus. Motion Carried, (7-0-1)**

**3. Old Business**

None.

**4. New Business**

**A. One- & Six-Year Road Plan**

- i. **Staff Report – Jeff Calentine:** Calentine presented the history of the One- and Six-Year Road Plan and how traditionally it is submitted to the Board of Classification and Public Roads in the Spring, but that the passage of LB82 last Spring changed this process for the future. He said that by the time LB82 was passed last year, they had already created the One and Six Plan, so they just went through with their normal process and did the public hearing and presented to the Commission as was routine. Calentine said that the process this year changed a little bit, especially considering the impacts of COVID-19. He said that when they started doing their One and Six Plan, the CIP process was very much up in the air as far as what projects they were

going to do now versus in the future, what funding was going to look like, and what revenue sources were going to look like for the City, so they held off on the One and Six until they had more information.

Calentine said that in the future they will continue to do the One- and Six- Plan like they traditionally have done, but what LB 82 did was remove a lot of the hoops they had to jump through to send that to the Board of Public Road and Classifications. So, although they must keep a copy and follow the One- and Six- Plan, they don't have to submit all the documentation to the Board, they just need to submit a compliance resolution that the City Council must approve.

Calentine said that tonight, he is just here to ask or see what the Commission's thoughts are on them wanting him or Public Works to continue to bring the One- and Six-Year Road Plan to them for review, which is the same thing that will be in the CIP documentation that is presented to them in the fall during the normal budgeting process. He said that he is willing to come in the Spring or whenever they get the One- and Six-Year Plan done and present that to them additionally if they would like. He said that they are not required to do so statutorily any more as part of this Legislative Bill. He said that all the stuff he presented to them in the CIP is exactly what's in the One- and Six-Year Plan. He said that he had just wanted to wait until the third reading of the budget before submitting the compliance letter to make sure projects weren't getting shuffled around or changed.

Circo asked how much change could occur during the 6-month process.

Calentine said that with the One- and Six-Year what they really care about is that the upcoming fiscal year stays consistent with what was presented.

Krzywicki said that it's good for the Commission to see it, even if they don't take any action on it.

Krzywicki brought up the letter they received and said that there was a recommendation to recommend approval and asked if the Commission needed to approve anything.

Calentine said that there is no formal process that statutorily requires them to take any action on this.

ii. **Public Hearing: Wetuski opened the Public Hearing.**

**Wetuski closed the Public Hearing as no members of the public came forward.**

iii. **Recommendation:** Malmquist moved, seconded by Circo, to recommend approval of the One- and Six-Year Street Improvement Compliance Letter. **Ayes: Krzywicki, Gahan, Wetuski, Alexander, Coghlan, Circo, Frey, and Malmquist. Nays: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Dale and Sargus. Motion Carried, (8-0)**

## **5. Comments from the Floor**

No members of the public were present.

## **6. Comments from the Planning Commission**

None

## **7. Comments from the Staff**

Fountain mentioned that when he was at the county, staff at every Planning Commission meeting would give an update on previous applications and what their status was, if the City Council had approved them with conditions, etc., and asked if that is something they would like as well.

Planning Commission members agreed that they would like to receive updates.

Krzywicki asked if there has been any action or any interest in the land between Costco and Giles.

Fountain said that there hasn't been interest in the big parcels, but that they are having a meeting on Monday about the smaller parcels by Embassy Suites.

Krzywicki asked if Starbuck's and Chili's had announced opening dates yet.

Fountain said that Starbuck's was aiming for January and that Chili's hasn't said for sure, but that he believed it was somewhere around there as well. He also mentioned that the permits for Building 10 have been picked up and dirt work is now being started.

## **8. Adjournment**

Wetuski adjourned the meeting at 6:43 p.m.

Reviewed by Planning Commission:

---

Planning Commission Secretary

---

Planning Commission Chair

---

Date